

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/901,214 07/09/2001 Gordon L. Amidon PSL-10202/39 6240 EXAMINER 11/21/2003 Gifford, Krass, Groh, Sprinkle, HUI, SAN MING R Anderson & Citkowski, P.C. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Suite 400 280 N. Old Woodward 1617 Birmingham, MI 48009

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application N .	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
	09/901,214	AMIDON ET AL.	AMIDON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	San-ming Hui	1617		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		th the correspondence addre	 ess	
THE REPLY FILED 27 October 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this (1) a timely filed amendme	application. A proper reply nt which places the applicati	to a ion in	
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or t	p)]		
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing definition	ate of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expir ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W. 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Otimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	re later than SIX MONTHS from the AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH he date on which the petition und d of extension and the correspond of the shortened statutory period office later than three months afte	ne mailing date of the final rejection IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. S er 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriation of the fee. The appropriation of the fee. The final C	n. See MPEP priate extension priate extension Office action; or	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	, ,,			
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require furt		earch (see NOTF below)		
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);				
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	·	by materially reducing or sim	plifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims	•	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		• •		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: §		n considered but does NOT	place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SC	LELY to issues which were	newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims v			nd an	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:			
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected:				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapprov	ed by the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
10. Other:	V.V	Radmande	` , ,	

SREENI PADMANABHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

50/8/11

Continuation She t (PTOL-303)

Application No. 009/901,214

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendments filed October 27, 2003 have added new limitations in attempt to clarify the herein claimed subject matters. However, the proposed limitation "of a dimension the same as the drug particle" would raise new issue of the claims. Such limitation is used to describe the drug in bulk powder form. It is not clear what "dimension" is referred to in the instant case. Is it shape or size of the drug in bulk powder forms? The claim also recite the dissolution rate of the drug in the drug particle is two-fold a what that of the drug in bulk form. It is not clear what ratio of the gelatin and lecithin is needed to accomplished such characteristics. It is not clear what matrix is referred to. The proposed amendments would make the claim even more confusing than before..

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed October 27, 2003 averring the unexpected benefits of the instant invention is that only two ingredients: lecithin and gelatin, would be able to increase the solubility of the drug particles have been considered, but are not found persuasive. The claims herein recite more than the two ingredient and claim 1 does not even recite any specific ingredients. Therefore, the arguments drawn to unclaimed limitation is moot.